

Meeting consumer expectations

Terence Hagan Food Research Branch, Agri-Food and Biosciences Institute, Newforge lane,

www.afbini.gov.uk

- What do we talk about when we talk about food?
- Or more importantly
- What do the consumers say?



What do the consumers say?

- lamb focus groups



CAFRE Final Year Student Project

Lamb consumption is declining

- Investigating and comparing consumer liking of lamb for younger and older consumers
- Conducted three focus groups (Loughry College, Antrim and Glenarm)
- These identified both positive and negative responses



Focus groups:- Negative responses

- Dislike flavour/odour, lingering smell in kitchen
- Expensive
- Unavailability on restaurant menus
- Hardly ever seen 'on offer' in shops/ supermarkets
- Next stage: Currently developing questionnaire



What can we say about consumers?

What do they say?

 lamb focus groups

 Scoring treatments

 lamb benchmarking



Benchmarking of lamb

Commissioned by Northern Ireland Livestock and Meat Commission.

Objective: To compare the eating quality of lamb loin from Northern Ireland female lambs, entire male lambs (<12 months) and New Zealand sourced lamb (4-6 months).

Method: 120 consumers assessed six samples on the acceptability of aroma, flavour, texture and overall acceptable.



Mean scores for hedonic assessments of lamb loin from three sources



No Significant Differences

Outcome:

NI lamb, harvested at just under 12 months of age was of equal acceptability to 4-6 month New Zealand lamb imported at the same date.



What can we say about consumers?

What do they say?

 lamb focus groups

 Scoring treatments

 lamb benchmarking

 However these are average scores
 Consumers differ >> cluster analysis
 Beef



Investigation into regional differences between consumers.

- Trained sensory profiling panel
 Consumer Panels (360)
- Conducted in Belfast, Cork and Reading



Meat Quality- Consumer Overall Liking



Steers > Bulls > Cows

TS > AT

Dairy > Continental

Are there any regional differences?

Consumer Panels - Mean sensory scores



* P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001



Do different regions like different things? Interactions (6 treatments)

	Treatment (n=6)	Region	Region * Treatment
Liking of aroma	**	***	ns
Tenderness	***	**	ns
Juiciness	***	*	ns
Flavour Liking	***	*	ns
Overall Liking	***	**	ns

* P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001



Cluster Group Analysis

No c	No of consumers	
Group 1	121	34%
Group 2	85	24%
Group 3	96	27%
Group 4	58	16%
Total	360	100%



Preferences: Treatment



Cluster Groups

Grp1 – "Easy pleased"

Grp2 – "Bull-beef likers"

Grp3 – "Tender"

Grp4 – "Fastidious"



External Preference Map





External Preference Map



Understanding consumers preference mapping

Beef from range of production /processing methods

Consumer panels

Sensory profiling panels Meat quality Measurements *pHu, shear force...* Chemical Measurements Sugars, amino acids

Multivariate statistics External and internal preference mapping, heirarchical cluster analysis

Farmer et al., ICOMST 2010

Understanding consumers

External preference map for grilled beef sirloin for principal components, PC1 and PC2



Understanding consumers

External preference map for grilled beef sirloin for principal components, PC1 and PC2



Conclusions

• Wide range of sensory and statistical techniques available to develop an understanding of consumer liking and preference.

• Some are relatively simple, some complex

- Many new and novel approaches still to be explored
 - Emotional responses, Temporal Dominance of Sensations (TDS)

Acknowledgments

- Irene Chong, David Sanderson
- Maurice O'Sullivan, UCC and Lisa Methven (Reading University)
- Oonagh Ward (3rd Year CAFRE student)
- Linda Farmer, Dave Farrell, Colin McRoberts

